

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 ROBERT KINCHELOE, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,
11 Defendant.

Case No. 21-cv-00515-BLF

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STAY
BRIEFING ON MOTION FOR
CONDITIONAL CLASS
CERTIFICATION**

[Re: ECF 23]

12
13 Before the Court is Defendant American Airlines's motion to stay briefing on Plaintiff
14 Robert Kincheloe's motion for conditional class certification pending this Court's resolution of its
15 motion to transfer. *See Mot.*, ECF 23. Mr. Kincheloe opposes this motion. *See Opp'n*, ECF 34.
16 The Court DENIES the motion.

17 The Court borrows and adapts the test used in this district to determine whether discovery
18 should be stayed pending resolution of a dispositive motion and applies it to the situation here.
19 *See, e.g., In re Google Digital Advert. Antitrust Litig.*, No. 20-CV-03556-BLF, 2020 WL 7227159,
20 at *2 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2020), *In re Nexus 6p Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. 17-CV-02185-BLF, 2017
21 WL 3581188, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2017). In applying this test, the court must take a
22 "preliminary peek" at the merits of the pending motion to assess whether a stay is warranted. *In re*
23 *Google*, 2020 WL 7227159, at *2.

24 American Airlines argues that the standard for conditional certification in Age
25 Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA") and Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") collective
26 actions differs substantially in the Ninth and Fifth Circuits, and therefore the briefing on the
27 motion for conditional certification will depend substantially on the district in which the motion is
28 to be heard. Mot. 3-4. Mr. Kincheloe argues that the case American Airlines cites for this

1 difference in standards, *Swales v. KLLM Transport Services, LLC*, 985 F.3d 430 (5th Cir. 2021),
2 may not apply to this case, and granting American Airlines's motion to stay would cause
3 unnecessary delay. Opp'n 3-5. Mr. Kincheloe also argues that the forum selection clause
4 underpinning American Airlines's motion to transfer is not valid. Opp'n 1-2. The Court takes a
5 "preliminary peek" at the merits of the underlying motion. *In re Google*, 2020 WL 7227159, at *2.
6 The Court has reviewed the parties' briefing on American Airlines's motion to transfer. *See Mot.*
7 to Transfer, ECF 27; Opp'n to Mot. to Transfer, ECF 32; Reply, ECF 40. The Court finds that Mr.
8 Kincheloe's arguments opposing transfer appear strong and thus a stay of the motion for
9 conditional certification is not warranted. Accordingly, the Court DENIES American Airlines's
10 motion.

11
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
14 Dated: April 13, 2021



15
16 BETH LABSON FREEMAN
17 United States District Judge